

Communication

Virtual Free Ion Magnetism and the Absence of Jahn–Teller Distortion in a Linear Two-Coordinate Complex of High-Spin Iron(II)

William M. Reiff, Anne M. LaPointe, and Edward H. Witten

J. Am. Chem. Soc., **2004**, 126 (33), 10206-10207• DOI: 10.1021/ja030632w • Publication Date (Web): 29 July 2004 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on April 1, 2009



More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 3 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML





Published on Web 07/29/2004

Virtual Free Ion Magnetism and the Absence of Jahn–Teller Distortion in a Linear Two-Coordinate Complex of High-Spin Iron(II)

William M. Reiff,*,† Anne M. LaPointe,‡ and Edward H. Witten†

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Northeastern University, 360 Huntington Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, and Symyx Technologies, Inc., 3100 Central Expressway, Santa Clara, California 95051

Received November 21, 2003; E-mail: w.reiff@neu.edu

Recently there has been interest in the synthesis and detailed study of coordinatively unsaturated compounds of Fe(II). Thus, we¹ have investigated a square planar octaethylporphyrin of Fe(II) whose spin triplet ground state exhibits a substantial additional orbital component that leads to a very large magnetic hyperfine field $(H_n \approx 62.4 \text{ T})$ for the long-range magnetically ordered state below 2.8 K. Subsequently, Andres et al.² reported a series of threecoordinate spin quintet Fe(II) complexes (local C_{2y} FeN₂X chromophores) with remarkably large orbital contributions to their ground-state magnetic behavior. These are reflected in H_n ranging to 82 T. It is reasonable to assume that complexes having near minimal, regular ligation, as is the case for rigorously linear two coordination, afford the possibility of even larger unquenched, orbitally dominated, highly anisotropic magnetic behavior. We now present initial Mössbauer spectroscopy and magnetization results supporting this view for the linear, two-coordinate Fe(II) complex, $Fe[C(SiMe_3)_3]_2$ (1) (Figure 1).

X-ray structures of **1** have been reported by LaPointe³ and Viefhaus et al.⁴ Both studies establish a rigorously linear C–Fe(II)–C chromophore with equal Fe–C distances of 2.05 Å corresponding to local $D_{\infty h}$ and overall D_{3d} symmetry. Assuming local sp³ C– σ -bonding anionic ligands, crystal field theory⁵ leads to an energy level diagram (Figure 2), for which *the orbital doublets must be* degenerate since the molecule is linear and cannot Jahn–Teller⁶ distort. Hence, the ground state is (neglecting spin–orbit splitting) ${}^{5}\Delta_{g}$ in local $D_{\infty h}$. The consequences for the low-temperature Mössbauer spectrum and magnetism of **1** are now discussed.

At ambient temperature, the Mössbauer spectrum of **1** is a quadrupole doublet undergoing relaxation broadening⁷ to the extreme that the low velocity component of the doublet has all but vanished. The isomer shift^{8,9} (δ) and quadrupole splitting values (ΔE) are ~0.4 and 1.3 mm/s, respectively. The former is quite reasonable for two-coordinate high-spin iron (II) and fits well into the established systematics for isomer shifts as a function of coordination number. Specifically, δ decreases with decreasing coordination number for a fixed spin and oxidation state, presumably as a result of increasing "s" electron character to the metalligand bonding. Andres et al.² extended the δ database to include three-coordinate iron(II) in their investigation of FeN₂X complexes with δ (298 K) = 0.48 mm/s (X = CH₃⁻). The magnitude of ΔE for **1** is essentially temperature-independent, implying a ground state that is relatively well-isolated from excited orbital states.

The Mössbauer spectrum of 1 undergoes a transformation to fully resolved hyperfine splitting at \sim 50 K but with broad transitions. This process is obvious over the decreasing temperature interval 125 to 50 K, at which temperature the system is approaching the infinitely slow relaxation limit. The behavior clearly corresponds



SiMe

Me₃S





Figure 2. Crystal field splitting for linear two-coordinate Fe²⁺.



Figure 3. Mössbauer spectrum of 1 at 4.2 K.

to slow paramagnetic relaxation as opposed to long-range magnetic order. Analysis of the spectrum indicates that the internal hyperfine field of 1 is relaxing along the C_{∞} axis of the C-Fe-C chromophores parallel to the principal component of the electric field gradient tensor, V_{ZZ} ,^{7,10} as demanded by the symmetry of **1**. Slow spin-spin relaxation for 1 is consistent with the closest Fe---Fe distances between C-Fe-C units of ~9 Å,3,11 while spin-lattice relaxation times of course lengthen with decreasing T. As expected for infinitely slow relaxation, at 4.2 K (Figure 3), narrow line widths $(\langle \Gamma_{3\&4} \rangle = 0.53 \text{ mm/s})$ are observed relative to the overall nuclear Zeeman splitting of 48.8 mm/s for a single hyperfine pattern. The latter indicates an isolated ground spin-orbit doublet resulting from spin-orbit splitting of the 10-fold degenerate ground ${}^{5}\Delta_{g}$ term of 1. This hyperfine splitting is extraordinary in the context of all of currently known iron chemistry. The corresponding internal hyperfine field is 151.6 T. Since the spectrum is quadrupole shifted, it is more appropriate to calculate H_n from the nonquadrupole split I =1/2 ground-state Zeeman splitting. For 1, this has a value of 152.4 T, arguably implying its unique nature.

In zero field, $H_n = H_F + H_D + H_L$, corresponding to the sum of the Fermi contact, dipolar and orbital contributions, respectively. H_F is largely determined by the value of *S*, i.e., $H_F \approx -12.7$ T/spin.⁸ Hence, for S = 2, $H_F \approx -51$ T. For **1**, this value should suffer little if any covalence reduction effects⁸ via either direct delocalization to empty, low-energy ligand orbitals (there are none for **1**)

[†] Northeastern University. [‡] Symyx Technologies, Inc.



Figure 4. DC magnetization of 1 at 1.85 K, 0-50 000 Oe.

or a cloud expanding (nephalauxetic) effect. There are only two ligands, and these are *nondelocalizing* σ -bonding sp³-hybridized carbanions that have no lone pairs for back-bonding. As $H_{\rm D}$ scales as the magnitude of the value of V_{ZZ} of the valence contribution (a maximum of ~+4 mm/s for either d_{xy} or $d_{x^2-y^2}$ occupation),¹² this term is neglected in the context of an overall hyperfine splitting of \sim 49 mm/s. This approximation and the use of the free ion value of $H_{\rm F}$ leads to an upper bound on the value of $H_{\rm L}$ of +203 T¹³ for **1**. Our value is perhaps not that surprising (at least qualitatively) in view of the fact that the analysis of Table 2 in ref 2 yields L =2 and $g_{\text{eff}} = 12$ (x-band) as the maximum values precisely for the (rigorously degenerate) ground pair of **1**, namely $(d_{xy}, d_{x^2-y^2})$ in Figure 2, for z-spin quantization. In any event, detailed analysis clearly shows that current theory2 does not quantitatively account for the magnitude of H_n for **1**.

In Figure 4, we present DC Squid magnetization measurements of 1 at 1.85 K for the same crystalline sample used for Mössbauer spectroscopy. The saturation magnetization values ($M_{sat}/mol =$ $g\mu_{\beta}NS$) for J = S, L = 0, and g = 2 for S = 2 and S = 3 are 22 339 and 33 495 emu/mol, respectively, corresponding to classical spin-only behavior. Figure 4 shows that $M_{\rm sat} \approx 32500$ emu/mol, i.e., ~97% $M_{\rm sat}$ S = 3. Clearly, 1 has a very large orbital contribution. The maximum orbital contribution for high-spin d,6 L = 2, leads to a moment (L + 2S) of 6 Bohr magnetons *effectively* indistinguishable from spin-only S = 3 (6 \times S = 1/2) on the basis of magnitude alone.

The calculated moment of the ground ${}^{5}D_{4}$ free-ion term { $\mu_{J} =$ $(g_J^2 J(J+1))^{1/2}$, L = S = 2, J = 4, g = 3/2} is 6.71 μ_β , while the spin-only value for S = 3 is 6.93 μ_{β} , i.e., μ (free gas-phase ion) \approx 97% $\mu(S = 3)$. Depending on the field magnitude, cooling, and field history, we observe a moment of \sim 6.6 to 7 μ_{β} for 1 from susceptibility measurements consistent with the minimal orbital quenching effects indicated by Mössbauer spectroscopy. Whether in the parlance of physicists (magnetization) or the effective moment used by chemists, the orbital contribution for 1 is the maximum and essentially equivalent to adding two full spins relative to spinonly S = 2 behavior. Thus, in the context of the magnitude of the moment of 1, we have the remarkable situation of virtually free ion magnetic behavior, although large uniaxial anisotropy is expected and observed for 1 in the solid state.

Summarizing, 1 is a simple but highly anisotropic paramagnet for which the overall temperature variation of its effective magnetic moment (via zero field ac susceptibility measurements) is that expected for a molecule having a unique magnetic center with very large first-order orbital momentum,¹⁴ no low symmetry ligand field component, and a Stevens orbital angular momentum reduction factor $k \approx 1$, i.e., no reduction.¹⁵ The Renner–Teller effect leading to a reduction in symmetry from local $D_{\infty h}$ to C_{2v} via bending¹⁶ will clearly lift the degeneracy in Figure 2 and reduce the orbital contribution. However, such vibrational behavior is expected to be largely precluded at least for the solid state of 1 in view of its bulky ligands.

We have demonstrated for the first time the unprecedented effects of the absence of Jahn-Teller distortion on the magnetism of a linear coordination compound of high-spin iron(II) in the contexts of its low temperature Mössbauer spectrum and dc magnetization. Ac and dc susceptibility study of fully randomized samples and field oriented crystal mosaics, heat capacity, Mössbauer, and ESR spectra investigations of 1 will be published subsequently. Finally, in view of Figure 2, one anticipates likewise unprecedented magnetic behavior for the high-spin d⁸ configuration of a Ni(II) analogue of 1 owing to the possibility of a ${}^{3}\pi_{g}$ ground-state whose degeneracy is maintained in linear (homoleptic) coordination.

Acknowledgment. We are indebted to Professors Charles E. Johnson and Eckard Münck for stimulating discussions. The NSF-DMR supported the purchase of a Squid magnetometer at Northeastern University.

References

- (1) Reiff, W. M.; Frommen, C. M.; Yee, G. T.; Sellers, S. P. Inorg. Chem. 2000, 39, 2076-2079.
- Andres, H.; Bominaar, E. L.; Smith, J. M.; Eckert, N. A.; Holland, P. L.; Münck, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 3012-3021.
- (3) (a) LaPointe, A. M. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2003, 345, 359-362, (b) Hall, K. (a) La onnor, A. M.; LaPointe, A. M.; Murphy, V.; van Beek, J. A. M.; U.S. Pat. Appl. Publ. U.S. 2002034829, 2002.
 (4) Viefhaus, T.; Schwarz, K.; Hübler, K.; Locke, K.; Weidlein, J. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2001, 627, 715–725.
- (5) Companion, A. L.; Komarynksy, M. A. J. Chem. Educ. 1964, 41, 257-262.
- (6) Jahn, H. A.; Teller, E. Proc. R. Soc. London 1937, A161, 220-226.
- (7) Blume, M.; Tjon, J. A. Phys. Rev. 1968, 165, 446-456.
- Greenwood, N. N.; Gibb, T. C. Mössbauer Spectroscopy; Chapman and (8)Hall: London, 1971.
- The ambient temperature isomer shift value (~0.40 mm/s) was obtained (9)from the more accurate 47.5 K value (0.52 mm/s) of the resolved hyperfine pattern, allowing ~0.12 mm/s correction for the second order Doppler shift effect.
- (10) Reiff, W. M. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1973, 10, 37-77
- (11) Wignal, J. W. G. J. Chem. Phys. 1968, 44, 2462-2467.
- (12) Gibb, T. C.; Principles of Mössbauer Spectroscopy; Chapman and Hall, London, 1976
- (13) Applied field Mössbauer spectra of 1 show that the internal field is positive as expected for a dominant orbital contribution (Reiff, W. M.; Schulz, C.; LaPointe, A. M., to be published).
- (14) Kahn, O. Molecular Magnetism; VCH-Wiley: New York, 1993; pp 31-
- (15)Figgis, B. N.; Lewis, J.; Mabbs, F. E.; Webb, G. A. J. Chem. Soc. A 1967, 442-447.
- VanZee, R. J.; Brown, C. M.; Zeringue, K. J.; Weltner, W. Acc. Chem. Res. 1980, 13, 237-242. (16)

JA030632W